## 

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

United States of America,	) Case No. <u>21-MJ-71782</u>
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
Lance Quan Ma,  **Defendant(s).	AND WAIVER UNDER FRCP 5.1
Trial Act from 12/15/21 to 1/12/22 continuance outweigh the best interest of the pu	and finds that the ends of justice served by the blic and the defendant in a speedy trial. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § d bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuance we See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i)	ould be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice.
defendants, the nature of to or law, that it is unreasonable to	plex, due to [check applicable reasons] the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial lished by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
	ould deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
<u> </u>	ould unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, giver ommitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
	ould unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time on, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
disposition of criminal cases, the paragraph and — based on the paragraph the time limits for a preliminary extending the 30-day time period	at, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first arties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for I for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
IT IS SO ORDERED.	Down
DATED: 12/16/21	DONNA M. RYU United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: /s/ Severa Keith	/s/ Stephen Meyer
Attorney for Defendant	Assistant United States Attorney